#### **A Few Words First**

- Courtesy Please mute your phone (\*6 toggle)
- Sep 14, Rick Dove, Agile Systems & Processes 105: Operational Awareness Alert to Threats and Opportunities
- Oct 12, Phillip Helle, speaking from Germany at 9:00-10:15 am NM time, Testing of Autonomous Systems – Challenges and State of the Art
- Oct 28-29 Socorro Systems Summit 2-Day Collaborative Workshop **Produced by Enchantment Chapter and New Mexico Tech**



- Date: Friday/Saturday, October 28-29.
- Place: New Mexico Tech, Socorro, NM.
- Fee: \$100, students free.
- Keynote: INCOSE President-Elect Garry Roedler.
- Collaborative workshops to explore issues of interest.
- See Q3 Newsletter pages 1, 5, and 8 (16 topic descriptions).
- See flyer on Chapter website, Library tab.

#### Nine Top Topics on Interest Survey

- SE cultural transformation
- SE: multidiscipline enabler/art/science Agile security
- High performance teaming
- Fail-fast rapid innovation

- SoS evolutionary integrity
- - Agile HW development infrastructure
  - Organizational project-pursuit teaming
- Critical infrastructure resilience

First slide, not recorded but retained in pdf presentation.

#### And Now - Introductions

### Enchantment Chapter Monthly Meeting



<u>10 August 2016 – 4:45-6:00 pm:</u>

#### How Ready Are We to Consider Natural Systems Concepts

Larry Pohlmann, Strategics

pohlmann@incose.org

**Abstract:** The biomimicry community would have us believe that the 'genius of Nature' is ready source of inspiration for a wide range of our engineering, design, and sustainability problems. Should the SE community accept—and act on—this belief? This presentation starts with a brief overview of the objectives, activities, and findings-to-date of the INCOSE Natural Systems Working Group (NSWG). A major premise of the NSWG is that the SE community can, and should, more routinely con-sider natural systems data and solution concepts. This presentation proceeds to systematically ad-dress our *readiness* to do so from seven different, but interdependent, perspectives:

- Bio-inspired design (BID) process definition acceptance and consistency—Is there sufficiency?
- Technology readiness scales and assessments—Do TRS's apply in the BID context?
- Capability maturity models—What can we learn from the maturity model advocates?
- Process and product development precedence analyses—Are NS considerations especially applicable for unprecedented functions or systems?
- Change management concepts—Will it help to use these types of techniques to encourage BID?
- Corporate and customer culture and innovation climates—Is organizational culture ready for this?

• Application scale and complexity—Do NS inspirations help in the kinds of work we do? The presentation concludes with a set of recommendations to increase our readiness. Selected references are provided.

> Download slides today-only from GlobalMeetSeven file library or anytime from the Library at <u>www.incose.org/enchantment</u> **NOTE: This meeting will be recorded**

#### **Today's Presentation**

#### **Things to Think About**

### How can this be applied in your work environment? What did you hear that will influence your thinking? What is your take away from this presentation?

### **Speaker Bio**



Dr. Lawrence D. Pohlmann brings the perspective of working in academia, government, and small and large business industry settings. His experience includes serving in a variety of engineering and management positions in systems engineering, software engineering, ergonomics, and business development. His domain experience includes primarily aerospace, information technology, and military systems. Doing business as *Strategics*, he continues to provide consulting support for business development efforts for several clients. He has led or participated in process improvement in several contexts. He has published extensively.

Pohlmann is a founding member of INCOSE (membership #9) and has served in several elected and appointed positions. He was the appointed one-person 'technical director and board' from 1991 to 1993 and helped to initiate and direct efforts for the first 10 working groups. He wrote the Council's first Strategic Plan in 1996.

Since January, 2013, he has focused the majority of his INCOSE efforts on participation with the Natural Systems Working Group.

*Natural Systems Working Group* 



### How Ready Are We to Routinely Consider Natural Systems Data and Solution Concepts?

— Seven Interdependent Perspectives —

Presentation to the INCOSE Enchantment Chapter August 10, 2016 Lawrence D. Pohlmann, Ph.D. Owner, Strategics

Member/Advisor: Natural Systems Working Group (NSWG) https://sites.google.com/site/incosenswg/

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16 Readiness for Routine NS Consideration



#### **Abstract**

The biomimicry community would have us believe that the 'genius of Nature' is readily applicable to a wide range of engineering, design, and sustainability problems.

The premise of the NSWG is that the SE community can, and should, more routinely consider natural systems data and solution concepts.

This presentation: first introduces the NSWG, and then addresses our <u>readiness</u> to consider natural systems data and solution concepts during the SE life cycle—from seven different, but interdependent, perspectives:

- Process definition acceptance and consistency
- Technology readiness scales and assessments
- Capability maturity models
- Process and product development precedence analyses
- Change management concepts
- Corporate and customer culture and innovation climates
- Application scale and complexity

The presentation concludes with a set of recommendations to increase our readiness. Selected references are provided.





Dr. Lawrence D. Pohlmann brings the perspective of working in academia, government, and small and large business industry settings. His experience includes serving in a variety of engineering and management positions in systems engineering, software engineering, ergonomics, and business development. His domain experience includes primarily aerospace, information technology, and military systems. Doing business as *Strategics*, he continues to provide consulting support for business development efforts for several clients. He has led or participated in process improvement in several contexts. He has published extensively.

Pohlmann is a founding member of INCOSE (membership #9) and has served in several elected and appointed positions. He was the appointed one-person 'technical director and board' from 1991 to 1993 and helped to initiate and direct efforts for the first 10 working groups. He wrote the Council's first Strategic Plan in 1996.

Since January, 2013, he has focused the majority of his INCOSE efforts on participation with the NSWG.





What Are Natural Systems (NS)?

- Why Should SEs Care About NS?
- What Is The Natural Systems Working Group (NSWG)?
- Why Perspectives?
- What Perspectives?
- S-o-o-o?

### Where Can I Learn More?



### 'Natural Systems'

Anything not human-made

- All living things: flora and fauna everywhere
- All non-living things
- Terrestrial and cosmic events

And the interactions among these— According to the laws of nature

#### Natural Systems are the results/successes of evolutionary experimentation



### Agenda

- What Are Natural Systems (NS)?
- Why Should SEs Care About NS?
  - What Is The Natural Systems Working Group (NSWG)?
  - Why Perspectives?
  - What Perspectives?
  - S-o-o-o?
  - Where Can I Learn More?

### **Bio-inspired Design (BID)** Consciously? Or By Coincidence?





**COMMERCIAL AVAIATION** 

- Continuing bio-inspiration from birds for over 100+ years
- More than basic wing shape
- Above: level flight with 'landing gear stowed'
- Can you think of other birdinspired aircraft structure and operations characteristics?

**VELCRO® INDUSTRY** 

- Burrs sticking to clothes inspired new type of fastener
- Conceived in 1941
- Patented in 1950's
- World-wide, multi-million dollar industry
- Can you think of somewhere where Velcro did <u>not</u> work and was phased out?



BULLET TRAIN FIX

- The kingfisher bird: Inspiration for solving the 'tunnel boom' problem
- Significant engineering efforts required
- Can you identify the analogy—and why it worked?

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

### **Bio-inspired Design (BID)—2** Consciously? Or By Coincidence? \*\*







- Things four-legged ??? [Most of our furniture]
- Things four-wheeled ??? [Most of our vehicles]
- Fork lift ???
- Fans ???
- Fire and garden hoses ???
- Siphons ???

#### • Fishing nets ???

- Screens ???
- Cloth ???
- Architectural concepts ???
- Suspension bridges ???
- Very high strength
- materials ???





- Armor ???
- Armored vehicles ???
- Military tactics ??? [E.g., Roman 'testudo' formation]
- Riot squad tactics ???

#### **\*\* Sometimes it is hard to tell which**

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

**Readiness for Routine NS Consideration** 

#### **Nature's 'Genius'** — Nature is Very Good at a Lot of Things —



- An integrated, no waste system
- Operation in a wide range of environments
- High strength, low volume, low weight materials
- Locomotion in creative ways across a wide range of environments
- Diverse, extremely capable sensing
- Materials creation/recycling at low pressures, temperatures, and toxicity levels
- Energy conversion and utilization
- Real time adaptation
- Reproduction and growth
- Evolution to survive and succeed

## Aren't these desirable traits for our engineered systems?

### **BID Successes (Examples)**



- Have gone far beyond airplanes, Velcro, bullet trains
- Are numerous and diverse and increasing
  - Interface Carpet—large scale sustainability, camouflage, Lotusan—self cleaning paint, ChromaFlair—color changing paint, WhalePower turbine blades, beetle-inspired water collection, the "termite building," Sharklet Technologies—

bacteria transfer avoidance, bendable glass, Fibonacci spiral inspired pump and fan blades, Evocative—mushroom inspired, custom grown, bio-degradable packaging materials

## Are easily located online – Search on 'biomimicry successes'



## Doesn't the number and diversity of BID successes provide a foundation for routine NS consideration?

### **Predictions by Brilliant People**





"We're going to see in surprisingly short order that biological inspiration and biological processes will become central to engineering real systems. It's going to lead to a new era in engineering." *Charles M. Vest (1941-2013), former President of MIT (2009)* 



"I think the biggest innovation of the twenty-first century will be the intersection of biology and technology. A new era is beginning, just like the digital one . . . "

Steve Jobs (1955-2011), former Apple CEO (2011)

#### Is routine consideration of NS inevitable?



### What Are Natural Systems (NS)?

- Why Should SEs Care About NS?
- What Is The Natural Systems Working Group (NSWG)?
  - Why Perspectives?

Agenda

- What Perspectives?
- S-o-o-o?

#### Where Can I Learn More?



<u>NSWG Goal</u>: Influence SE processes to routinely and appropriately consider natural systems solution concepts and data throughout the SE life cycle. Help SE teams learn how to ask:

### "What would nature do?"

**Recent/Current Special Initiatives:** 

- **1. INSIGHT Special Feature on Natural Systems (April 2016)**
- 2. SEBoK article on 'good/best' practices for considering NS as part of SE processes
- **3.** Article on Reference Architectures related to NS

https://sites.google.com/site/incosenswg/

nswg-info@incose.org

#### Come and Join Us !!



Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

# NSWG Activities: Webinars (Sample Below), Weekly Meetings, Initiatives



- "Systematic Design of Biologically Inspired Engineering Solutions." Jacquelyn K.S. Nagel. James Madison University—Webinar 2015-3. A process examination example.
- "Jellyfish Node and Colonies: Modeling Biological Structure and Behavior, System Architecture Design and Implementation." Shashank Priya et al. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University—Webinar 2014-4. A robotics research example.
- "Gecko-grippers for space from the animal to Zero-g Flight Test." Aaron Parness. NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory—Webinar 2014-7. A NASA research project example.
- "WYSS Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering." Mary Tolikas, Harvard University—Webinar 2013-4. A BID business incubator example.

- "The AskNature Website and The Biomimicry Taxonomy." Ethan Smith, The Biomimicry Institute—Webinar 2015-8. A tools example.
- "Compound Eyes—They Might Not Do What You Think." Jerome B. Franck. US Army Night Vision & Electronic Sensors Directorate— Webinar 2015-1. A sensor study and modeling example.
- "Natural Inspiration for Unnatural Characters in Creative Cinema." Phil Tippet. Tippett Studio—Webinar 2015-6. An entertainment industry use of BID example.
- "Georgia Tech's Center for Biologically Inspired Design." Jeannette Yen. Georgia Tech University—Presentation at International Workshop 2015. A BID interdisciplinary training and research program example.

## The NSWG has sponsored a wide range of NS-related webinars. See the NSWG site for list, slides, recordings

### **INSIGHT April 2016** Special Feature on Natural Systems





- 13 articles on SE/NSrelated topics
- Available via Connect
- Articles also available on the NSWG public site

#### Recommended reading !





- What Are Natural Systems (NS)?
- Why Should SEs Care About NS?
- What Is The Natural Systems Working Group (NSWG)?
- Why Perspectives?
  - What Perspectives?
  - S-o-o-o?

#### Where Can I Learn More?

### Using Multiple Perspectives— Can Help Us Understand Reality





Examination from multiple perspectives has been around a long time



#### Remember the basics? Top/Plan View + Side View + Front/Elevation View

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

**Readiness for Routine NS Consideration** 

#### Understanding Enterprise Architecture —The Zachman Framework





Another famous multiple perspectives example

Is there an SE/NS equivalent?

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

**Readiness for Routine NS Consideration** 



### Agenda

- What Are Natural Systems (NS)?
- Why Should SEs Care About NS?
- What Is The Natural Systems Working Group (NSWG)?
- Why Perspectives?
- What Perspectives?
  - S-o-o-o?

#### Where Can I Learn More?

### **Interdependent Perspectives**—



To Help Assess NS Consideration Readiness

- 1. Process definition acceptance and consistency
- 2. Technology readiness scales and assessments
- **3.** Capability maturity models
- 4. Process and product development precedence analyses
- **5.** Change management concepts
- 6. Corporate and customer culture and innovation climates
- 7. Application scale and complexity

These seven perspectives can help us examine the 'reality' of our readiness for routine NS consideration

### 1. Process Definition Acceptance and Consistency



- What is the BID process?
- How much agreement is there on this?



"Let me get this straight. You want to build a new discipline of biologically inspired design (BID) — but your terminology and processes are inconsistent, and there are few experts, and few communities of practice, and little theory or methodology, and no scientific discipline! And you want me to help you??\*\* Good Grief!!!"

\*\*Adapted from Goel, NSWG webinar, December 2013

There is terminology and process definition work to do! It will take a while!! Five years? 10? More? How much consistency do we need?

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

**Readiness for Routine NS Consideration** 

### 2. Technology Readiness Level Scales and Assessments



#### US Department of Energy Technology Readiness Levels\*\*\*

- TRL9—Actual system operated over the full range of expected mission conditions
- TRL8—Actual system completed and qualified through test and demonstration
- TRL7—Full-scale, similar (prototypical) system demonstrated in relevant environment
- TRL6—Engineering/pilot-scale (prototypical) system validation in relevant environment
- TRL5—Laboratory scale, similar system validation in relevant environment
- TRL4—Component and/or system validation in laboratory environment
- TRL3—Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept
- TRL2—Technology concept and/or application formulated
- TRL1—Basic principles observed and reported

\*\*\*Publication DOE G 413.3-4A

Wikipedia discusses multiple Technology Readiness Level (TRL) scales—i.e., NASA, DoD, DOE, the European Space Agency, and the Oil and Gas industry

> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tech nology readiness level

- Powered flight experiments
- Self driving car experiments

Discussing BID approaches using TRL terminology may make them more credible with the SE community



LEVEL 2

REPEATABLE

LEVEL 1

INITIAL

- Partial documentation allows attempts to use the same steps
- Processes may vary across projects
- Ad hoc, chaotic, undocumented processes
- Little/no process discipline
- Success due to team/individual heroics

### 4. Process and Product Development Precedence Analyses



Precedence = the fact of preceding in time, antedating

- Has anyone done this before?
- Has our company done this before?
- Has our project team done this before?
- Which concepts/systems/subsystems are new?
- Is there expertise that we can access?
- Has our customer specified/acquired/used these types of products/systems before?

#### "Precedence" may apply to domain, tools, process as well as system, application, or design Precedents reduce '<u>cognitive distance</u>' to a goal

### **5. Change Management Concepts**



Moving to routine consideration of NS is a <u>change</u> — and can/should be handled with change management methods: e.g., via Kotter's eight stage process\*\*



\*\*Kotter, *Leading Change,* Harvard Business School Press, 1996 See also Prosci.com (for free stuff), or 'Change Management' in Wikipedia

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

Readiness for Routine NS Consideration

6. Corporate and Customer Culture and Innovation Climates



Leading Edg Innovator	e Ent	repreneur?	<u>Hesitator</u>
Proven	Explorer	Visionary	Risk Taker
Techno	Willing Collaborator		Thorough Planner
Conservative Investor	Strong Process	First Mover	Aggressive
Integrator	Improveme Advocate	nt	R&D Sponsor

#### Some characteristics facilitate moving toward routine NS consideration—while others tend to be barriers

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

**Readiness for Routine NS Consideration** 

### 7. Application Scale and Complexity





<u>Velcro</u> (Curiosity  $\rightarrow$  Quick inspiration)

- <u>Opportunity driven</u>: Why do burrs stick to my clothes?
- Small scale, narrow focus (Common to many BID successes)
- Nearly immediate solution vision
- Long, complex materials engineering problem ~10 years
- 50+ years old, has now achieved diverse, world-wide usage



Shinkansen (Japanese bullet train) (An excellent example!)

- <u>Requirement driven</u>: tunnel boom and vibration problems
- Larger scale problem with multiple situation dimensions
- Extended search for solution, multiple trade studies
- Recognized as situation of dealing with pressure change
- Simulated solution concepts in laboratory



**Interface Carpet** (High Complexity)

- Vision driven: total sustainability
- Very large and complex *challenge*
- Long term, incremental goals (25+ yrs)
- World-wide company participation
- Wide range of bio-inspirations
- Entire company is NS sensitive

## There is wide spectrum of scale and complexity — and spectrum position drives NS consideration approaches

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

### Interface Carpet's Mission Ø –

Zero Impact, Total Sustainability by 2020





- Front 1 Eliminate Waste:
  - Eliminate all forms of waste in every area of business.
- Front 2 Allow Only Benign Emissions:
  - Eliminate toxic substances from products, vehicles, and facilities.
- Front 3 Renewable Energy:
  - Operate facilities with 100% renewable energy.
- Front 4 Close The Loop:
  - Redesign processes and products to close the technical loop using recovered and bio-based materials.
- Front 5 Use Resource Efficient Transportation:
  - Transport people and products efficiently to eliminate waste and emissions.
- Front 6 Sensitize Stakeholders:
  - Create a culture that uses sustainability principles to improve the lives and livelihoods of all of our stakeholders.
- Front 7 Redesign Commerce:
  - Create a new business model that demonstrates and supports the value of sustainability-based commerce.

#### Interface Carpet is a good example of a visionary, coordinated, long-term, multiple front, corporate-wide BID effort



#### Agenda

- What Are Natural Systems (NS)?
- Why Should SEs Care About NS?
- What Is The Natural Systems Working Group (NSWG)?
- Why Perspectives?
- What Perspectives?
- S-o-o-o?

Where Can I Learn More?

### So: Are We Ready? — Point and Counterpoint —



#### Yes, We Are!

- There are numerous, diverse BID successes
- SEs are capable of working across disciplines
- SEs are capable of integrating in new technologies
- SE community is willing, able to adapt, improve processes
- ✓ BID acceptance is spreading
- NS/BID data, advocacy, R&D, and training are expanding
- Advances in computational capability, miniaturization, and materials science make real considerations feasible

#### No, Not Yet!

- BID successes tend to be small—and mostly irrelevant to the SE community
- **BID is still largely an academic vs. practical discipline**
- **BID** as a process remains too ill-defined for routine use
- BID is in the hype phase, it is not clear that it will succeed on 'SE scale' problems
- Most large companies have too much inertia and not enough \$\$\$ to seriously and routinely consider embracing BID at this time

#### All of these statements are 'defendable'

### **Pohlmann's SE/NS Manifesto**



- We have complex, hard problems to solve
- Nature has a wide range of elegant solutions
- Some of natures solutions <u>may</u> be applicable
- There <u>are</u> BID success stories we can learn from
- SE processes <u>can</u> grow to appropriately and routinely consider concepts/data from nature
- Increasing computational, materials sciences, and miniaturization capabilities are enablers

## It starts with the appropriate and timely interdisciplinary communications

### **Recommendations**



- Accept as inevitable that routine consideration of NS will grow over time
- So Go For It! Use the perspectives to shape your adoption approach and priorities
- Sell the vision! But be cautious of the dangers of 'irrational exuberance'
- → Pohlmann's position: Build expertise, then begin routine consideration—judiciously!
   By system/product, select one of three options—
   → NS <u>not</u> relevant → look no further at this time
   → NS <u>may be</u> relevant → do more trade studies
   → NS <u>is</u> relevant → dig deep, shape, use wisely



- Agenda
- What Are Natural Systems (NS)?
- Why Should SEs Care About NS?
- What Is The Natural Systems Working Group (NSWG)?
- Why Perspectives?
- What Perspectives?
- S-o-o-o?

Where Can I Learn More?

### Interesting and Informative Free Stuff



- Benyus. J. M. "Biomimicry Primer." Downloadable from: <u>http://biomimicry.net/about/ biomimicry/a-biomimicry-primer/</u>
- Zygote Quarterly. <u>http://zqjournal.org/</u>
   – A free on-line journal
   – Goes beyond titillating headlines
- INSIGHT 19:1 April 2016

   Special Feature on Natural Systems

### Two Really Good Books — Not Free



- Benyus, J. M. 1997, 2002. *Biomimicry Innovation Inspired by Nature*. New York, US-NY: William Morrow. [Hardback 1997, Paperback 2002] \$
  - -Informative, interesting <u>and</u> entertaining
  - Review submitted to INSIGHT
  - The review is also on the NSWG site
- Bar-Cohen, Y., Ed. 2011. *Biomimetics: Nature-Based Innovation*. Boca Raton, US-FL: CRC Press. \$\$\$
  - A review of this book is in INSIGHT Volume 17, Issue 2, July 2014, 64-65
  - The review is also on the NSWG site

### A Few Good URLs and Some Candidate Search Terms



- Biomimicry 3.8. <u>http://biomimicry.net/</u>
- Biomimicry Institute. <u>http://biomimicry.org/</u>
- Ask Nature. <u>http://asknature.org</u>
- Interface Carpet—Mission Ø.

www.interfaceglobal.com/sustainability/interface-story.aspx

#### Candidate search terms

- Benyus + talks, videos
- Biomimicry or bioinspired design + successes, challenges, failures, hype, education, engineering, cool stuff, research, startups, examples, videos, books, products, or processes

#### There is a lot out there. Follow your interests!

#### **BID and SE:** Three Good Examples of Working Together



- Overview of the Wright brothers invention process – <u>http://wright.nasa.gov/overview.htm</u>
- Bullet train 'tunnel boom' problem
  - <u>https://www.greenbiz.com/blog/2012/10/19/how-one-engineers-birdwatching-made-japans-bullet-train-better</u>
     See also the NSWG site Success Story
- Eastgate Centre (the 'termite building')

   <u>https://www.foe.co.uk/news/eastgate\_centre\_harare</u>
   <u>termite\_mound\_41325</u>

#### Look for case studies that talk to complexity and engineering issues

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16

**Readiness for Routine NS Consideration** 

### ??? Questions ???

THANK

You



Dr. Lawrence D. Pohlmann

Strategics P.O. Box 149

Flat Rock, NC 28731-0149

pohlmann@incose.org

#### **Strategics** – Consulting That Works!

Proposal strategy, development, and review Oral presentation coaching/development Web site use strategy and evolution Process engineering/improvement Organizational development Systems engineering

#### See the INCOSE INSIGHT Special Issue on Natural Systems Volume 19, Issue 1 April 2016

#### Come join the NSWG, and learn to ask: What would nature do?

<u>nswg-info@incose.org</u>

https://sites.google.com/site/incosenswg/

Enchantment\_Pohlmann\_10Aug16 Readiness for Routine NS Consideration

#### **Today's Presentation**

#### **Things to Think About**

### How can this be applied in your work environment? What did you hear that will influence your thinking? What is your take away from this presentation?

#### Please

The link for the online survey for this meeting is <u>www.surveymonkey.com/r/enchant\_08\_10\_2016</u> www.surveymonkey.com/r/enchant\_08\_10\_2016

Look in GlobalMeet chat box for cut & paste link.

Slide presentation can be downloaded now/anytime from: The library page at: <u>www.incose.org/enchantment</u> Recording will be in the library tomorrow.