AGENDA:

1 DP Work Product

1.1 Assessment for completeness to go forward to approval- Identified WG for review, see 1.3

1.2 Assessment for Profile Guides for completeness to go forward to approval; Identify peer reviewers.

1.3 Reviewer for Package by WG includes: Instructions for Review, Report c063371_ISO_IEC_29110-5-6_2_2014, Profile Guide, DP

- Objective: Clarity, completeness, indicating internal and external conflicts with state-of-the art and best practices.
- Rationale: The comments need to be constructive criticism where the background for the comment clear.
- Add Boilerplate

Package for Review by WG				
WG Name	**Chair**	**Domain/Enabler**	**Deployment Package**	**VSE Champion**
Architecture	Mike Wilkinson / Richard Martin / Alain Faisandier	Process Enablers	**Physical Functional Architecture**	Ken
Configuration Management	_	_	**Configuration Management**	Rudy
Defense Systems	Karl Geist	Application Domains	**Product Deployment**	
Patterns	Bill Schindel	Transformational	**All DPs for WG to WG collaboration**	Angela, Joe
PM-SE (Emerging)	Jean-Claude Roussel / Tina Srivastava / Gary Smith	Process Enablers	**Program Management, Assessment**	Ken
Process Improvement	John Clark	Transformational	**Integration, Interface**	Angela
Requirements	Mike Ryan	Process Enablers	**Requirements, IV V**	Angela

2 Survey to Worldwide Chapters on VSE use of ISO29110

Send out through Tech-Ops

What is the distribution mechanism?

Send to head-up to chapter leaders so we can get optimal feedback

1. Do you know about ISO29110?
2. Who is using it?
3. Do you know what a VSE is?
4. Have you experienced unsuccessful attempts at introducing SE into you organization?
    1. What worked?
    2. What didn't work?
5. If you have you experienced success in introducing SE into you organization:
    1. What was the key to success factor?
6. Are there VSEs in your Chapter?

7. Ask the VSEs in your Chapter (This question should briefly describe the 29110 Standard)
    1. Does the VSE know about the 29110 standard?
    2. Does the VSE have documented processes?
    3. *List DPs and ask about*
        1. *Success*
        2. *Pain points*
        3. *Challenges*
    4. Ask for VSE success testimony; written, video
8. Tailoring 15288: successes/failures, challenges
    1. Experience in lessons learned
    2. Success
    3. Challenges

3 Peer Review of Basic Profile Guides for publication
Peer reviewers are internal to INCOSE and will use the same evaluation criteria as the DPs.

4 ICOSE Chapter Kit
Feedback to Claude: email to VSE with instruction for critique.

5 Brochure for Worldwide distribution
Leveraging the HWG brochure and making it VSE specific.

6 Using the Vee Model to visualize the PLM
Discussion outcome: Lee & Joe will map the DPs to Vee Model as a PPT.